UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,481	03/09/2001	Paul Willard	132538-1014	5875
	7590 06/04/200 YNNE SEWELL LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
1601 ELM ST	00 THANKSGIVING TOWER 01 ELM ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 7	75201-4761	3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary    O9/802,481   WILLARD ET AL.     Examiner   Art Unit   Narayanswamy Subramanian   3691     All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   (1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.   (3)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.  (2) Marc A. Hubbard (Reg. No. 32.506).  (4)	Interview Summary	09/802,481	WILLARD ET AL.					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.  (2) Marc A. Hubbard (Reg. No. 32.506).  (4)	microlew duminary	Examiner	Art Unit					
(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian. (2) Marc A. Hubbard (Reg. No. 32.506).  Date of Interview: 92. June 2008.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No.  If Yes, brief description: □  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10-14.  Identification of prior art discussed: □  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112. second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112. second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a suriable, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a nummary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATHENTO FTHE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		Narayanswamy Subramanian	3691					
Date of Interview: 02 June 2008.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10-14.  Identification of prior art discussed: □  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection. Afterney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filling a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW STROM THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
Date of Interview: 02 June 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10-14.  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filling a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW Some Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>Narayanswamy Subramanian</u> .							
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c\ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2 applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Pes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10-14.  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner subramanian explained the 35 USC 112. second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(2) <u>Marc A. Hubbard (Reg. No. 32,506)</u> .	(4)						
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: <u>02 June 2008</u> .							
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10-14.  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Altorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN a NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	i							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10-14</u> .							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112, second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filling a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed:							
reached, or any other comments: <a href="examiner Subramanian explained">examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112</a> , second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims. The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112 , second paragraph rejection. Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Narayanswamy Subramanian/	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Narayanswamy Subramanian/	reached, or any other comments: <u>examiner Subramanian explained the 35 USC 112</u> , <u>second paragraph issues in the currently pending amended claims</u> . <u>The Examiner also suggested claim language to overcome the potential 112</u> , <u>second paragraph rejection</u> . <u>Attorney Hubbard agreed to consider the suggestions before filing a supplemental amendment</u> .							
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Narayanswamy Subramanian/	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims							
	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview							
Duina am a Escapata and Aut Unit 2004								
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  Attachment to a signed Office action.								
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Summary	Paper No. 20080602					